### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois,	)	CLEAR'S OFFICE
Complainant,	) ) )	Polluro OF 1 2005
vs.	)	No. PCB 05-123 Control Board
CUNAT, INC.,	)	(Elizabethelia Mater)
an Illinois corporation,	) .	•
•	)	

### NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Christopher D. Oswald

Respondent.

Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami

One North Old State Capitol Plaza

Suite 325

Springfield, IL 62701-1323

Dorothy Gunn

Clerk

Illinois Pollution Control Board

Suite 11-500

James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Street Chicago, IL 60601

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and nine copies of the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, an Agreed Motion for Relief from the Hearing Requirement, Notice of Filing and a Certificate of Service, a copy of which is attached herewith and served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

BY:

Zemetra Bonk + Ab

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20th Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 814-3816

DATE: March 15, 2005

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	) LEAK CONTROL
LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois,	Politic 5 2075
Complainant,	) Control POIS
vs.	) No. PCB 05-1293 ) (Enforcement-Water)
CUNAT, INC.,	)
an Illinois corporation,	)
Respondent.	j

# AGREED MOTION TO REQUEST RELIEF FROM THE HEARING REQUIREMENT

In support of this Motion, the parties state as follows:

- 1. Today, the People of the State of Illinois, filed a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, with the Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- 2. Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental

  Protection Act, ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002) provides:

Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (1) of this subsection (c), whenever a complaint has been filed on behalf of the Agency or by the People of the State of Illinois, the parties may file with the Board a stipulation and proposal for settlement accompanied by a request for relief from the requirement of a hearing pursuant to subdivision (1)...

3. Complainant and Respondent agree that a formal hearing is not necessary to conclude this matter and wish to avail themselves of Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002).

			01	
PEOPLE	OF THE STATE OF IL	LLINOIS, )		01 <b>5</b>
	Complainant,	)	Sollon and the second of the s	Soalu
	v.	,	PCB 05-123	
יי מזאדזי	TNC	)	(Enforcement - Water)	

an Illinois corporation,

Respondent.

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA
MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois
Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and CUNAT, INC.

("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and
Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the
Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The
parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein
represent a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which
would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The
parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made
and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither
the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any
of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence
in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the
Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board

approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

#### I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

### II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

#### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### A. Parties

1. On January 12, 2005, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.

- 2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).
- 3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois corporation that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.

## B. Site Description

- 1. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was the developer of the Richmond Condominiums development located on the west side of Route 12, Village of Richmond ("Richmond"), McHenry County, Illinois ("site").
- 2. On January 4, 2002, the Illinois EPA issued permit No. 2002-HB-5194 to Cunat to construct a sanitary sewer on the property known as the Kensington Manor Condominium Development, ("the Richmond Condominiums") in the Village of Richmond, Illinois ("Richmond"), with said system to connect the buildings on the development site to Richmond's newly constructed sewer lateral at the edge of Cunat's property line. Said permit was issued simultaneously to the Village of Richmond to own and operate such sewer.
- 3. On September 8, 2003, the Illinois EPA, received a complaint from the McHenry County Health Department regarding the discharge of untreated sewage to the surface of the ground along

Route 12 in the Village of Richmond. Richmond's sewer lateral located outside of Cunat's property was incomplete and untreated sewage was spilling on the ground surface.

- 4. On September 9, 2003, the Illinois EPA inspected the site and observed that sewage had accumulated in a depression that was excavated for the construction of a lift station.

  During the inspection, accumulated sewage was being pumped from a wet well to a nearby manhole that connected to an existing portion of the Village of Richmond's collection system.
- 5. The Complainant believes the source of the sewage was Cunat's Richmond Condominiums development.

# C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I: Violation of Sewer Construction Permit:
Violation of Section 12(b) of the Act, 415 ILCS
5/12(b)(2002), 35 Ill. Adm. Code 309.202(a) and
Special Condition 4 of permit No. 2002-HB-5194.

#### D. Admission of Violations

The Respondent represents that it has entered into this

Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed

claims without having to incur the expense of contested

litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with

its terms, the Respondent does not affirmatively admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint and referenced within Section III.C herein, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

### IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

# V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

# VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c)(2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
- 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, Complainant states the following:

- 1. The discharge of untreated sewage to the ground posed, at a minimum, a threat to human health and the environment while exposed to the environment.
- 2. There is social and economic benefit to the sewer construction project.
- 3. Operation of the project was suitable for the area where the discharge occurred.

- 4. Complying with the requirements of the Act, Board regulations, and permit conditions was both technically practicable and economically reasonable. The Respondent believed it was in compliance with the requirements of the Act, Board regulations and Permit Conditions due to its reliance on representations made to it by the Village of Richmond in issuing occupancy permits for residential units in the Richmond Condominiums.
- 5. Richmond's sanitary sewer line from the Richmond Condominium development has since been connected to the Village of Richmond's sewage collection system and Respondent is now in compliance.

### VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;

- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, Complainant states as follows:

1. The Complainant alleges that Cunat's use of the uncompleted sewer was in direct contravention of the terms of the construction and operating permit No. 2002-HB-5194, caused a discharge to the soil surface and threatened a discharge of untreated sewage to waters of the State. It appears the surface discharge occurred on at least one occasion. The Respondent has denied that it violated the terms of its construction permit, and denied that its actions were the cause of any discharge for the

reason that it was only the construction permittee, believing Special Condition 4 of said permit applies only to the owner/operator permittee.

- 2. While the Complainant alleges that Cunat violated the terms of the construction and operating permit No. 2002-HB-5194, it appears to have done so unwittingly. Richmond issued occupancy permits for residential units at the site which Cunat relied upon as an indication that the utilities were all functioning properly. The Respondent denies it violated construction and operating permit No. 2002-HB-5194.
- 3. Complainant is unaware of any economic benefit that may have accrued to Respondent because of its use of the uncompleted sewer line.
- 4. The civil penalty requested below should impress upon Cunat and similarly-situated developers the need to ensure construction and occupancy of their projects occur in compliance with environmental laws.
- 5. Complainant is presently unaware of prior enforcement action against Cunat.
  - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter.
- 7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.

#### VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

#### A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$3,500.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The Respondent stipulates that payment has been tendered to Respondent's attorney of record in this matter in a form acceptable to that attorney. Further, Respondent stipulates that said attorney has been directed to make the penalty payment on behalf of Respondent, within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation, in a manner prescribed below. The penalty described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN),36-3263768, shall appear on the check. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Zemeheret Bereket-Ab Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received. When partial payment(s) are made, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid payment then due and owing. All interest on payment owed shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer, payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and delivered to the address and in the manner described above.
- 3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Cunat, Inc. Attn: Christopher Zock 5400 West Elm Street, Suite 110 McHenry, Illinois 60050

4. In the event of default of this Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including,

but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### B. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.D, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2002). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

## C. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

# D. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the Three
Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$3,500.00) penalty and any
specified costs and accrued interest, and to Cease and Desist as
contained in Section VIII.C and upon the Pollution Control
Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation
and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and
discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties
for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the
subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth
above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly
specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on January 12, 2005.
The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without
prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the
Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not
limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal,
   local, and common laws and/or regulations;
- c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

# E. Enforcement of Board Order

- 1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.
- 2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.
- 3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.
- 4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of

competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY:

Environmental Bureau

Assistant Attorney General

DATE:

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION **AGENCY** 

WILLIAM D. INGERS

Acting Chief Legal Counsel

DATE: Feb 24, 2005

CUNAT, INC.,

an Illinois corporation

Name: Christopher & Zack
Title: VP of Operations

DATE: 3-5-05

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request relief from the hearing requirement pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

ROSEMARIE CAZEAU, Chief Environmental Bureau

BY:

Zsmilin Birk HS

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
188 W. Randolph St., 20th Fl.
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 814-3816

DATE: March 15, 2005

G:\Environmental Enforcement\Z BEREKET-AB\Cunat Mot ReliefFrom HearingRequirement 3-15-05.wpd

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB, an Assistant Attorney General, do certify that I caused to be served on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 2005, the foregoing Notice of Filing, a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, and an Agreed Motion for Relief from the Hearing Requirement, upon the persons listed on said Notice by placing same in an envelope bearing sufficient postage with the United States Postal Service located at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois.

Findint Bink Hs

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AR

 ${\tt G:\environmental\ Enforcement\Z\ BEREKET-AB\Cunat\ NOF\ \&\ Certificate\ 3-15-05.wpd}$